

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT CARLSON, Personal Representative)	
of the Estate of Craig Carlson,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 1:08-cv-991
-v-)	
)	Honorable Paul L. Maloney
SCOTT FEWINS and)	
GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY,)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO FILE REPLY

Defendants filed a motion to strike or otherwise limit the testimony of Plaintiff's expert, Ernest Burwell. After Plaintiff filed his response, Defendants filed a motion requesting leave of the Court to file a reply. (ECF No. 381.)

Defendants largely complain that the response does not address the arguments raised in their motion. The Court has reviewed the proposed response. (ECF No. 381-1.)

Defendants' motion for leave to file a reply (ECF No. 381) is **DENIED**. Without limiting the reasons the motion might be granted, the proposed response does not identify an error of law or seek to correct a clearly inaccurate statement of fact. Most parties would like the last word on any matter. Here, Defendants' will have to rely on their initial brief.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: July 11, 2018

/s/ Paul L. Maloney
Paul L. Maloney
United States District Judge